Equilibrium Characterization for Data Acquisition Games

Zachary Schutzman



with Jinshuo Dong, Hadi Elzayn, Shahin Jabbari, Michael Kearns



IJCAI 2019

Motivation

- Modern services are built on data and ML
- Classical economic models need to be adapted





Setting

• Two firms provide a similar service. Throughout, we assume that Firm 1 has more data than Firm 2

- Each firm already has some data and captures a certain share of the market
- There is a new corpus of n data points available at a price p

Data and Market Share



- A user makes queries of a service until a mistakes are made, then switches
- The relative errors of the firms' models and this "competition" parameter *a* determine the relative market shares

Model Selection

Problem: Firms need to jointly choose a **learning model** and a buy/don't buy **action** in the game.

How do we reason about this (extremely large) strategy space?

Reduction from Learning Theory

For the class of neural nets with d nodes, given m training samples, the generalization error is at most $c_1/m + c_2/d$ [Barron, 1994]

 For an amount of data *m*, there is an optimal choice of d to minimize error! Here d is Θ(1/√m), generally Θ(m^{-r}) for some *r* called the learning rate

Market Shares

- We can write the relative market share of Firm 1 as $\mu_1 = m_1^{b}/(m_1^{b} + m_2^{b})$
- b = a*r where a is the competition exponent and -r is the learning rate



The Simplified Game

- Firms choose to buy the new data or not based only on the price and how market shares will change
- The firms face the following payoff matrix:

Firm 1/Firm 2	Buy (B)	Not Buy (NB)
Buy (B)	$\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2} \left(\mu_1(m_1+n,m_2,b) \\ + \mu_1(m_1,m_2+n,b) \\ -p \right) \end{array}$	
Not Buy (NB)	$\mu_1(m_1,m_2+n,b)$	$\mu_1(m_1,m_2,b)$

Equilibrium Characterization

There are **three regimes** to consider in analyzing the equilibria of this game:

- If the price is too high, both firms always decline to buy the data
- If the price is too low, both firms always try to buy the data
- In the intermediate range, there are three equilibria

Price Thresholds

$$A = \frac{(m_1 + n)^b}{(m_1 + n)^b + m_2{}^b} - \frac{m_1{}^b}{m_1{}^b + (m_2 + n)^b}$$
$$C = \frac{(m_1 + n)^b}{(m_1 + n)^b + m_2{}^b} - \frac{m_1{}^b}{m_1{}^b + m_2{}^b},$$
$$D = \frac{(m_2 + n)^b}{m_1{}^b + (m_2 + n)^b} - \frac{m_2{}^b}{m_1{}^b + m_2{}^b}.$$

- A/2 is the expected change in µ₁ when moving from (NB,B) to (B,B)
- C is the change in µ₁ when moving from (NB,NB) to (B,NB)
- D is the same for μ_2

Price Thresholds

- The lower threshold is max(C,D)
- The **upper threshold** is A

- A/2 is the expected change in µ₁ when moving from (NB,B) to (B,B)
- C is the change in µ₁ when moving from (NB,NB) to (B,B)
- D is the same for μ_2

Intermediate Prices

When p is in the **middle range** there are **three** equilibria:

- 1. Both firms **buy the data**
- 2. Both firms decline to buy the data
- 3. A unique mixed strategy Nash equilibrium



Three Equilibria

- In the mixed equilibrium, Firm
 2 puts a higher
 weight on buying than Firm 1 does
- For both firms, the probability of buying is increasing in the price p



A Data "Arms Race"

Both firms prefer neither buys the data

Both firms prefer having the data rather than the other firm having it



Impact on Market Shares

- For any choice of parameters, Firm 2 is **more likely** to get the new data than Firm 1
- The market tends away from monopoly

Impact on Consumers

- Users prefer Firm 1 to improve its already superior product
 - $(B,NB) \ge (B,B) \ge (NB,B) \ge (NB,NB)$
- Note (B,NB) is never a pure strategy equilibrium outcome and is an unlikely mixed strategy outcome
- Preferences of users and equilibrium outcomes do not align

Thank you!

ianzach@seas.upenn.edu